

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,118	08/01/2003	Paolo M.B. Tiramani	AW-2	3649	
7.	590 08/09/2005		EXAM	INER	
Ansel M. Schwartz		WEAVER	WEAVER, SUE A		
Attorney at Lav Suite 304	W		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
201 N. Craig S			3727		
Pittsburgh, PA	. 15213		DATE MAILED: 08/09/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					TANA		
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/633,118	3	TIRAMANI, PAOLO	M.B.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Sue A. Wea		3727			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The mail of the second section apports.	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even ly within the statut will apply and will e, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ration to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 F	ebruary 200	5.		_		
2a)□		s action is no					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except f	or formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6,11-14 and 18-26</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>7-10 and 15-17</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from con			·		
Applicat	tion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		•			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)[	objected to by the	Examiner.	•		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
. 11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. & 119 <i>(a</i>	)-(d) or (f)			
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign of All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of a claim for foreign of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of	nts have beer nts have beer ority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicat nts have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	- Stage		
Attachme	nt(s)	,			•		
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	3)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/633,118

Art Unit: 3727

Receipt of the proposed drawing figure on 2/10/05 is acknowledged. The
proposed figure is approved and formal drawings will be required up on the indication of
allowability.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims18 and thus 19-21 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "the castors" in the penultimate line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Applicant is advised that something appears to have been left out on line 5 of claim 18 because "at least which in an extend state..." doesn't make sense.

Furthermore applicant appear to have improperly made dependent claim 26 directed to an article dependent from a method claim, claim 25. Therefore fore it doesn't appear to further limit the method set forth in claim 25. It isn't clear what applicant is trying to claim in claim 26, a method or a bag.

3. Claims 1-2, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abraham et al in view of Sadow et al, of record.

Abraham et al teach a luggage construction with a pair of retractable wheels f the type claimed by applicant. Abraham et al further teach the provision of an actuator which is apart from the handle construction as shown at 63,64. To have further

provided a cable release system so that the wheels might be released without bending over would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Sadow et al as shown at 138.

4. Claims 3-6 and 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 2 and 12 above, and further in view of Kim, of record.

To have actuated the cable with a button having a lever to move the cable would have been obvious in view of the teaching by Kim at 86 and 90. The button of Kim is considered to be depressed toward the housing as it is rotated. The cable connection to member 68 is considered to be with a lever in the slot.

5. Claims 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuo '888 in view of either Kuo '981, both of record, or Abraham et al.

Applicant has amended the claims to add a pair of wheels received in slots. Both Kou '981 and Abraham teach the provision of a pair of wheels instead of a single wheel and Kuo '888 already teaches the provision of a slot for the wheel. To have merely provided two wheels for a more stable stance would have been obvious in view of either Kuo '981 or Abraham et al

- 6. Claims 7-10 and 15-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 11-14 and 18-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,118 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

8. Applicant's arguments, see pages 14 and 15 of the amendment, filed 2/10/05, with respect to the objection to the drawings and disclosure and rejection of claims 7-10 and 15-17 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection and rejection of claims 7-10 and 15-17 have been withdrawn.

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chen and Bollinger show other wheel release mechanisms.
- 10. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

## **Certificate of Mailing**

**Certificate of Transmission** 

Art Unit: 3727

Trademark Office, Fax No. (70 (Date)	, •		ed States Patent and
Typed or printed name of pers	on signing this certificate:	·	
Signature:		_	
Registration Number:		·	

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is (571) 272-4548. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday (6-4:30).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SW